

CEZARY PODSIADŁO, STANISŁAW KARCZMARCZYK, ZDZIŚŁAW KOSZAŃSKI,  
EWA RUMASZ

**INFLUENCE OF SUPPLEMENTAL IRRIGATION AND MINERAL  
FERTILIZATION ON SOME PHYSIOLOGICAL PROCESSES  
AND YIELD OF THREE LEGUME PLANTS CULTIVATED  
ON A SANDY SOIL**

**WPŁYW DESZCZOWANIA I NAWOŻENIA MINERALNEGO  
NA AKTYWNOŚĆ PROCESÓW FIZJOLOGICZNYCH ORAZ PLONOWANIE  
TRZECH GATUNKÓW ROŚLIN STRĄCZKOWYCH UPRAWIANYCH  
NA GLEBIE LEKKIEJ**

Department of Plant Production and Irrigation, Agricultural University of Szczecin  
Słowackiego 17, 71-434 Szczecin, Poland

Key words: irrigation, fertilizer doses, small bean, pea, lupine, yield, physiological processes.  
Słowa kluczowe: deszczowanie, dawki nawozu, bobik, groch, łubin, plon, procesy fizjologiczne.

The study was aimed at the assay of the effects of overhead irrigation and high mineral fertilization on pigment content, enzyme activity, photosynthesis, and the yield of small bean, pea and white lupine cultivated on a sandy soil.

It was found that irrigation and high doses of fertilizers caused an increase of pigment content in the tested plant leaves, and modified the activity of red-ox enzymes. The intensity of photosynthesis, transpiration and diffusive conductance of the leaves was also enhanced.

As effect of the increased physiological activity caused by the applied treatments, the yields of grain and straw markedly increased.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Intensification of agricultural production on sandy soils which cover over 60% of Poland's arable soils will always be the subject of research. One of the means enhancing yields of plants cultivated on such soils is providing the plants with sufficient water and proper mineral fertilization which makes the cultivation of such demanding plants as small bean and pea, possible. Of the scarce papers dealing with the influence of intense agrotechnique of legumes planted on sandy soils, the ones published by Borówczak (1997), Dzieżyc (1989), Karczmarczyk (1979), Grabarczyk et al. (1995), Panek (1983), Stock et al. (1981) should be mentioned.

Better growth of legume plants when irrigation was applied and the ensuing higher yield can be explained by enhanced activity of the plant's physiological processes during vegetation. Therefore this study was aimed at the assessment of the influence of overhead irrigation and high doses of mineral fertilizers on the content of chlorophyll and carotenoides in the plants, intensity of photosynthesis and enzyme activity of small bean, pea and white lupine, cultivated on a sandy soil.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The field and lab experiments were done in 1995-1996 on a sandy soil (5 Bw, pgl:pgm). The humus content was 1.3-1.5%, floatable parts 11-13%,  $pH_{KCl}$  5.2-5.6.

The temperature and rainfall were close to the average. Although these years were not extremely dry, rain deficit occurred in 1995 in April, May and July, and in 1996 in April and June. The irrigation doses were 80-100 in 1995, and 40 mm in 1996. The first factor of the experiment was irrigation (O and W), the second - 4 levels of mineral fertilization (0, 120, 240, 360 kg NPK/ha). The proportion of NPK was 1:2:3. The irrigation doses and timing were determined by the plants' 10-day water requirement (Dzieżyc et al. 1987).

Samples for the assay of pigments were taken from the top leaves of small bean (cv. Tinos), and of white lupine (cv. Bardo), stipule and tendrils of pea (cv. Tegma), at the stage of fruit formation. The pigment content was assessed colorimetrically in N,N-dimethylformamide extracts, at 440, 645 and 663 nm. The activity of enzymes and nitrate content was assessed in the same plant organs. The nitrate content was measured by an ionselective electrode, the nitrate reductase using reduced NADH as hydrogen donor, the phosphatase and peroxidase activity - by colormetry. The leaf surface was measured by the Delta-T Image Analysis System (DIAS). Intensity of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation, transpiration, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the substomatal cells, stomatal conductance, leaf temperature were measured by the LCA-4 analyser of British production. The measurements were taken at the fruit forming stage. These analyses, and the pigment content were done for the 0, 1, 3 NPK plots, non watered and watered. The crops were harvested from 18 m<sup>2</sup> plots and calculated as tons per 1 ha. All results were subjected to statistical analysis of variance.

## RESULTS

The plant's content of photosynthetic pigments is influenced by the environment, particularly by nutrient and water supply, as by insolation. Data presented in Tables 1, 2, 3 proved that the applied agrotechnical measures significantly changed the pigment contents of all tested plants.

The highest content of chlorophyll and carotenoides was found in small bean leaves (Table 1). The chlorophyll content increased as effect of fertilization by 26%, (chlorophyll a by 31, chlorophyll b by 41%). The effect of irrigation was only 10%. Of the pigments which are active in photosynthesis, a big role play the carotenoides which, according to Devlin and Barker (1971) protect the chlorophyll against photooxydation, transmit the light energy to chlorophyll and also take part in the carbon dioxide assimilation. As effect of irrigation the carotene content increased by 8%, but as effect of fertilizer by 15%.

Table 1. Pigment content in small bean leaves (µg/g fr. wtg.)

Irrigation	Mineral fertilization (kg/ha)	Chlorophyll a	Chlorophyll b	Total chlorophyll	Carotenoides
Not irrigated	0	1395	428	1823	709
	120	1454	482	1936	782
	360	1570	579	2149	812
Mean		1473	496	1969	768
Irrigated	0	1453	458	1911	754
	120	1469	509	1977	863
	360	1870	670	2539	875
Mean		1597	545	2142	831
Effect of fertilization	0	1424	443	1867	731
	120	1461	495	1957	823
	360	1720	624	2344	843
LSD <sub>0.05</sub> for: irrigation		78	33	101	45
fertilization		124	47	116	51
interaction		179	69	146	73



The chlorophyll content in pea was much smaller than in small bean (Table 2), but the impact of irrigation and fertilizers was larger. Irrigation caused a 30% increase of chlorophyll content in the stipule, the high fertilizer dose - even by 60%; the carotenoides content increased by 22 and 52%, respectively. The stipule which are leaf substitute, contained approximately half the amounts of the tendrils, and increases caused by irrigation and fertilization were also much smaller. The white lupine leaves contained also large amounts of pigments, though not as large as small bean; irrigation caused an increase of chlorophyll content by 27%, fertilization - by 40%. Ratio of chlorophyll b to a was approximately 1:4, and was almost the same in all compared plants.

Table 2. Pigments content in the tendrils and stipules of pea ( $\mu\text{g/g}$  fr. wgt.)

Irrigation	Mineral fertilization (kg/ha)	Chlorophyll a		Chlorophyll b		Total chlorophyll		Carotenoides	
		stipules	tendrils	stipules	tendrils	stipules	tendrils	stipules	tendrils
Not irrigated	0	635	483	177	102	813	585	402	307
	120	999	542	354	125	1353	667	539	369
	360	1365	617	444	142	1809	759	715	392
Mean		1000	547	325	123	1325	670	552	356
Irrigated	0	1230	505	328	107	1558	612	564	350
	120	1255	561	365	133	1619	694	697	410
	360	1520	670	463	228	1983	927	752	433
Mean		1335	589	385	156	1720	744	671	398
Effect of fertilization	0	933	494	253	104	1185	598	483	329
	120	1127	552	359	129	1486	681	618	390
	360	1443	658	453	185	1896	843	733	412
LSD <sub>0.05</sub> for: irrigation		89	11	15	13	111	28	17	15
fertilization		116	21	29	23	139	34	33	24
interaction		158	29	43	41	198	54	58	30

Table 3. Pigment content in white lupine leaves ( $\mu\text{g/g}$  fr. wgt.)

Irrigation	Mineral fertilization (kg/ha)	Chlorophyll a	Chlorophyll b	Total chlorophyll	Carotenoides
Not irrigated	0	878	192	1071	526
	120	1140	223	1364	678
	360	1164	272	1436	690
Mean		1061	229	1290	631
Irrigated	0	1049	249	1298	576
	120	1354	366	1720	738
	360	1458	423	1882	750
Mean		1287	346	1633	688
Effect of fertilization	0	964	220	1184	551
	120	1247	295	1542	708
	360	1311	348	1659	720
LSD <sub>0.05</sub> for: irrigation		58	21	124	16
fertilization		79	25	150	24
interaction		102	41	226	39

Studies done by Sestak and Catsky (1962), and by Wojcieszka et al. (1983) showed that the content of pigments is not directly related to the intensity of photosynthesis and biomass

production, but if considered jointly with the assimilation surface of the whole plant, it may affect the biomass synthesis, hence the yield.

In Table 4 the surface of the leaves is presented. Both irrigation and fertilization visibly increased the total surface of the leaves, which combined with the pigment content enhanced the yield of both the seeds and the straw. The leaf surface of small bean increased as effect of irrigation by 48%, pea stipule by 64%, tendrils by 86%, lupine leaves by 12%. High fertilizer doses caused the leaf surface to increase by 197, 205, 366 and 71%, respectively. These results correspond with those described by Karczmarczyk et al. (1993) and Zbieć et al. (1988).

Table 4. Assimilation area of leguminose plants (mean of 2 years)

Irrigation	Mineral fertilization (kg/ha)	Species of leguminose plant			
		Pea		Small bean	White lupine
		tendrils	stipules		
Not irrigated	0	24.7	90.6	229.0	370.2
	120	73.9	181.5	397.5	448.2
	240	124.9	219.7	666.5	585.9
	360	126.5	244.5	605.5	596.9
Mean		84.5	184.1	474.6	500.3
Irrigated	0	62.4	148.6	380.7	389.4
	120	104.2	244.0	425.1	461.0
	240	183.0	329.4	805.1	696.2
	360	278.7	486.0	1204.7	703.4
Mean		157.1	302.0	703.9	562.5
Effect of fertilization	0	43.5	119.6	304.8	379.8
	120	89.0	212.7	411.3	454.6
	240	153.9	274.5	735.8	641.1
	360	202.6	365.2	905.1	650.1
Mean		122.3	243.0	589.2	531.4
LSD <sub>0.05</sub> for: irrigation		34.2	57.3	146.0	32.4
fertilization		25.5	33.6	121.0	18.3
interaction		46.4	70.6	208.4	75.7

Enzymes are the motor of all processes, and their activity depends on nutrients and water supply. Of the red-ox enzymes, nitrate reductase is vital since it is responsible for the transformation of nitrogen, phosphatases control the phosphorus conversion, and peroxydase protects the phytohormones against biological oxydation. The results pertaining to the activity of enzymes in the tested plants are presented in Tables 5, 6, 7.

All three plants showed an increased activity of nitrate reductase caused by high mineral fertilizer dose, and this activity was also related to the nitrate content in the leaves. A lower content of nitrate and decreased nitrate reductase activity were probably connected with a faster reduction of the former and incorporation of nitrogen into aminoacids in plants which were able to assimilate more carbon dioxide, hence more carbohydrates could be produced. These theses find confirmation in a higher chlorophyll and carotene content (Table 1, 2, 3), a larger leaf surface (Table 4), and intensity of photosynthesis (Table 8).

The activity of peroxydase in pea stipule was by 46% higher if the plants had been irrigated, and by 15% as effect of high fertilizer doses (Table 6). The increase of that enzyme activity in the other plants increased only by several percent (Table 5, 7).



Table 5. Nitrate content and some enzyme activity in small bean leaves during flowering stage

Object		N-NO <sub>3</sub> (mg/kg)	Nitrate reductase ( $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ )	Peroxydase (unit)*	Phosphatase ( $\text{mmol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ )	
Irrigation	NPK (kg/ha)				acid	alkaline
Not irrigated	0	100	187	46	12.7	30.8
	120	115	225	50	10.6	31.7
	360	120	244	57	9.9	32.4
Mean		112	219	51	11.1	31.6
Irrigated	0	78	131	47	11.3	30.0
	120	85	150	52	10.6	32.6
	360	93	206	59	10.3	33.4
Mean		85	162	53	10.7	32.0
Effect of fertilization	0	89	159	47	12.0	30.4
	120	100	188	51	10.6	32.2
	360	106	225	58	10.1	32.9
Mean		98	191	52	10.9	31.8

\* -  $\Delta E/S \cdot 100 \text{ g}$ .

Table 6. Nitrate content and some enzyme activity in pea leaves during flowering stage

Object		N-NO <sub>3</sub> (mg/kg)		Nitrate reductase ( $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ )		Peroxydase (unit)*		Phosphatase ( $\text{mmol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ )			
Irrigation	NPK (kg/ha)							alkaline		acid	
		stipules	tendrils	stipules	tendrils	stipules	tendrils	stipules	tendrils	stipules	tendrils
Not irrigated	0	85	70	140	130	83	63	15.2	8.5	54.4	66.6
	120	98	74	150	146	94	74	16.5	8.2	68.2	75.3
	360	100	76	206	167	99	83	19.0	8.8	80.4	91.0
Mean		94	73	165	148	92	73	16.9	8.5	67.7	77.6
Irrigated	0	65	58	112	98	125	73	16.6	9.2	64.8	73.5
	120	70	65	134	116	136	84	17.6	10.6	66.7	92.7
	360	85	70	168	132	140	88	18.3	9.8	68.2	111.8
Mean		73	64	138	115	134	82	17.5	9.9	66.6	92.7
Effect of fertilization	0	75	64	126	114	104	68	15.9	8.9	59.6	70.1
	120	84	70	142	131	115	79	17.1	9.4	67.5	84.0
	360	93	73	187	150	120	86	18.7	9.3	74.3	101.4
Mean		84	69	152	132	113	78	17.2	9.2	67.1	85.2

\* -  $\Delta E/S \cdot 100 \text{ g}$ .

Table 7. Nitrate content and activity of some enzymes in white lupine leaves during flowering stage

Object		N-NO <sub>3</sub> (mg/kg)	Nitrate reductase ( $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ )	Peroxidase (unit)*	Phosphatase ( $\text{mmol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ )	
Irrigation	NPK (kg/ha)				acid	alkaline
Not irrigated	0	100	150	42	22.0	52.6
	120	116	168	48	21.7	62.8
	360	120	206	57	19.6	66.6
Mean		112	175	49	23.1	60.7
Irrigated	0	98	120	44	25.2	54.4
	120	110	146	50	18.8	66.7
	360	115	180	58	18.5	68.2
Mean		108	149	51	20.8	63.1
Effect of fertilization	0	99	135	43	23.6	53.5
	120	113	157	49	20.3	64.8
	360	118	193	58	19.1	67.4
Mean		110	162	50	21.0	61.9

\* -  $\Delta\text{E}/\text{S}\cdot 100\text{ g}$ .

The activity of phosphatases was not much affected by the applied measures, only the pea stipule showed an 45% activity increase as effect of fertilization, and 19% - caused by irrigation. These results are similar to those reported by Karczmarczyk et al. (1990, 1993), Wojcieszka et al. (1991, 1994 a, 1994 b), Zbieć et al. (1988). It must be mentioned, that the differences in the enzyme activity, particularly of nitrate reductase were much larger in cereals, which can be explained by much higher fertilizer doses applied to cereals, particularly of nitrogen. It is evident that the increased enzyme activity, larger content of chlorophyll and carotenoides were beneficial as far as the photosynthesis and biomass production is concerned.

The measurements of the plant's photosynthesis were done the day after irrigation, at the active radiation (PAR) of  $380\text{ mmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$ . The photosynthesis of the top leaves of small bean increased on watered plots by 23%, pea by 32%, lupine by 12%. Higher doses of fertilizer caused increases of 19, 34, 20%, respectively.

The plants transpiration also increased, that of pea to the greatest extent - by 46%, the least of lupine - by 30%. The two processes are strictly related, a larger production of organic compounds increases the demand for minerals taken up from the soil, on the other hand a better supply of nutrients, particularly nitrogen and magnesium enhances assimilation and pigment synthesis.

The concentration of carbon dioxide in the substomatal cells ( $C_s$ ) decreased on objects which showed an increased photosynthesis, this indicates a larger uptake and use of  $\text{CO}_2$ . The leaf conductance also increased as effect of the applied measures. This feature facilitates the transmission of carbon dioxide to the photosynthetic apparatus. The described results confirm those described by Wojcieszka (1994c), Wojcieszka and Szczypa (1971), Wojcieszka-Wyskupajtys (1996), and Zbieć et al. (1988).

Enhanced activity of physiological processes found in plants which had received proper watering and fertilization increased their yield. Data of Table 9 show that small bean yielded by 40% better, and in 1995 even by 53%. The yield increase of pea was smaller, only 26%, and in 1995 by 45%. The crop of white lupine was by 17 and 28% larger, respectively. Since the years of the experiment were not very dry, the effect of fertilizer doses was much larger: the triple NPK dose increased the small bean yield by 84%, in 1996 by over 100%. The yield of pea was by 56%, that of lupine by 70% higher. The combined effect of both measures reached 136% in case of small bean seeds, 92% - pea, 102% of white lupine.

Table 8. Photosynthetic activity in small bean, pea and lupine leaves under influence of irrigation and mineral fertilization

Object		Small bean					Pea stipule					White lupine				
Irrigation	NPK (kg/ha)	Photosynthesis ( $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )	Transpiration ( $\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )	$C_i$ ( $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ )	$T_{\text{leaf}} (^{\circ}\text{C})$	$g_c$ ( $\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )	Photosynthesis ( $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )	Transpiration ( $\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )	$C_i$ ( $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ )	$T_{\text{leaf}} (^{\circ}\text{C})$	$g_c$ ( $\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )	Photosynthesis ( $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )	Transpiration ( $\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )	$C_i$ ( $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ )	$T_{\text{leaf}} (^{\circ}\text{C})$	$g_c$ ( $\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )
Not irrigated	0	6.04	1.49	291	20.6	0.19	6.65	0.98	251	20.6	0.06	5.34	2.22	350	22.9	0.65
	360	8.47	1.58	279	20.4	0.23	7.38	1.27	231	20.8	0.17	6.72	2.44	339	20.6	0.97
Irrigated	0	8.70	2.03	300	20.1	0.47	7.27	1.62	312	19.0	0.36	6.30	2.93	356	18.0	1.04
	360	9.09	2.12	272	20.4	0.48	11.30	1.66	270	19.3	0.50	7.21	3.12	340	18.0	1.10
Effect of irrigation	not irrigated	7.25	1.53	285	20.5	0.21	7.01	1.12	241	20.7	0.11	6.03	2.33	344	21.8	0.81
	irrigated	8.89	2.07	286	20.3	0.47	9.28	1.64	291	19.1	0.43	6.76	3.03	348	18.0	1.07
Effect of fertilization	0	7.37	1.76	296	20.4	0.33	6.96	1.30	282	19.8	0.21	5.82	2.58	353	20.5	0.85
	360	8.78	1.85	276	20.4	0.35	9.34	1.46	250	20.0	0.33	6.97	2.78	339	19.3	1.04
LSD <sub>0.05</sub> for:		1.31	0.43	n.s.	n.s.	0.14	1.73	0.23	32.9	0.10	0.25	0.31	0.47	n.s.	0.33	0.11
irrigation		0.91	n.s.	11.1	n.s.	n.s.	1.01	n.s.	21.3	n.s.	0.11	0.19	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	0.07
fertilization		1.60	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	2.93	n.s.	44.4	n.s.	0.34	0.53	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	0.29
interaction																

$C_i$  - sub - stomatal  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration;  $T_{\text{leaf}}$  - leaf temperature;  $g_c$  - stomatal conductance.



Table 9. Effect of irrigation and mineral fertilization on the yields of seed and straw of small bean, pea and white lupine (means of 2 years in t/ha)

Object		Small bean						Pea						White lupine					
		seeds			straw			seeds			straw			seeds			straw		
Irrigation	NPK (kg/ha)	1995	1996	mean	1995	1996	mean	1995	1996	mean	1995	1996	mean	1995	1996	mean	1995	1996	mean
Not irrigated	0	2.00	2.35	2.18	2.50	3.85	3.18	2.29	3.55	2.92	2.82	3.93	3.38	2.42	2.51	2.47	2.80	3.25	3.03
	120	2.54	3.00	2.77	2.75	4.45	3.60	3.25	3.60	3.43	3.45	4.47	3.96	3.49	4.10	3.80	3.51	5.40	4.46
	240	3.11	4.00	3.56	3.20	5.01	4.11	3.65	5.60	4.63	4.21	6.66	5.44	3.67	4.61	4.14	3.71	5.67	4.69
	360	2.90	4.37	3.64	2.92	5.04	3.98	3.50	5.65	4.58	4.57	6.95	5.76	3.61	4.60	4.11	3.68	5.82	4.75
Mean		2.64	3.43	3.03	2.84	4.59	3.72	3.17	4.60	3.89	3.76	5.50	4.63	3.30	3.96	3.63	3.43	5.04	4.23
Irrigated	0	2.68	2.47	2.58	2.90	4.45	3.68	3.02	4.17	3.60	4.05	4.78	4.42	3.10	2.70	2.90	3.54	3.34	3.44
	120	3.83	4.57	4.2	3.68	5.27	4.48	4.63	5.00	4.82	5.25	6.75	6.00	4.19	4.34	4.27	4.53	5.48	5.01
	240	4.65	5.11	4.88	4.50	6.01	5.26	5.27	5.83	5.55	5.59	7.29	6.44	4.75	4.85	4.80	4.94	5.75	5.35
	360	5.00	5.28	5.14	4.75	6.08	5.42	5.55	5.67	5.61	5.67	7.33	6.50	4.88	5.12	5.00	4.98	6.14	5.56
Mean		4.04	4.36	4.20	3.96	5.45	4.71	4.62	5.17	4.89	5.14	6.54	5.84	4.23	4.25	4.24	4.50	5.18	4.84
Effect of fertilization	0	2.34	2.41	2.38	2.70	4.15	3.43	2.66	3.86	3.26	3.44	4.36	3.90	2.76	2.61	2.68	3.17	3.30	3.23
	120	3.19	3.79	3.49	3.22	4.86	4.04	3.94	4.30	4.12	4.35	5.61	4.98	3.84	4.22	4.03	4.02	5.44	4.73
	240	3.88	4.56	4.22	3.85	5.51	4.68	4.46	5.72	5.09	4.90	6.98	5.94	4.21	4.73	4.47	4.33	5.71	5.02
	360	3.95	4.83	4.39	3.84	5.56	4.70	4.53	5.66	5.09	5.12	7.14	6.13	4.25	4.86	4.55	4.33	5.98	5.16
Mean		3.34	3.89	3.62	3.40	5.02	4.21	3.90	4.88	4.38	4.45	6.02	5.24	3.76	4.10	3.93	3.96	5.11	4.53
LSD <sub>0.05</sub> for:																			
irrigation		0.25	0.09	0.17	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.11	0.17	0.07	0.12	0.24	0.17	0.21	0.29	0.10	0.20
fertilization		0.18	0.07	0.13	0.26	0.08	0.17	0.08	0.11	0.10	0.13	0.12	0.13	0.18	0.19	0.19	0.14	0.13	0.14
interaction		0.33	0.12	0.23	0.37	0.13	0.25	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.23	0.16	0.20	0.32	0.28	0.30	0.33	0.18	0.26



The straw yield was less affected by irrigation, but there is no doubt that the study on the response of various plant species to supplemental irrigation and fertilizer doses is purposeful. The influence of agrotechnical measures on the plant's physiological processes should also be considered since it determines the yield.

## CONCLUSIONS

1. Supplemental irrigation and high doses of mineral fertilizer caused significant increases of the content of chlorophyll and carotenoids in small bean, pea and white lupine leaves, and also modified the activity of red-ox enzymes. High NPK doses increased the activity of nitrate reductase in all tested plants, and that of peroxidase in pea stipule. Irrigation decreased the activity of nitrate reductase, but slightly increased the acid phosphatase activity.
2. The applied agrotechnical measures enhanced the carbon dioxide assimilation and transpiration, and decreased the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in the substomatal cells. An increased stomatal conductance was also found, particularly in the pea stipule.
3. As effect of the increased activity of the plant physiological processes caused by the applied agrotechnique, an increased yield of seeds and straw was obtained. The yield of small bean from irrigated plots was by 40% higher, that of pea by 26%, of white lupine by 17%. High doses of mineral fertilizer increased the crops by 84, 56, 70%, respectively. The combined effect of both measures always exceeded 90%.

## REFERENCES

1. Borówczak F., 1997, Effects of sprinkling irrigation of seed crops in Poland. Proceedings of Polish-Israeli Conference on Plant Irrigation. Tel Aviv, vol. II: 149-156.
2. Devlin R. M., Barker A. V., 1971, Photosynthesis. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co. New York.
3. Dzieżyc J. (red.), 1989, Water demand of cultivated plants. PWN, Warszawa. [In Polish].
4. Dzieżyc J., Nowak L., Panek K. 1987, A method for irrigation control, considering the plant demand. Probl. Post. Nauk Rol. 314: 137-148. [In Polish].
5. Grabarczyk S., Dudek S., Peszek J., Rzekanowski Cz., Żarski J., 1995. Regional differentiation of anticipated irrigation effects of plants cultivated on very poor soils. Zesz. Nauk. AR Wroc. 267: 45-4. [In Polish].
6. Karczmarczyk S., 1979, Effect of irrigation and mineral fertilization of small bean, winter wheat and spring barley cultivated in crop rotation and on some properties of a sandy soil. AR Szczec. Rozpr. 60. [In Polish].
7. Karczmarczyk S., Kaczmarek G., Kowalski W., Friedrich S., 1990, Yield and growth and development of some oat cultivars depending on irrigation and mineral fertilization. Part III. Some plant features and pigment content and enzyme activity. Szczec. Rocz. Nauk. 5 (2): 27-43. [In Polish].
8. Karczmarczyk S., Koszański Z., Podsiadło C., 1993, Some physiological processes and yield of winter wheat and triticale affected by irrigation and nitrogen fertilization. Part I. Chlorophyll and carotenoids content in some organs of wheat and triticale. Acta Agrob. 46 (1): 15-30. [In Polish].
9. Karczmarczyk S., Koszańska E., Ściążko D., Roy M., 1993a, Some physiological processes and yield of winter wheat and triticale affected by irrigation and nitrogen fertilization. Part II. Enzyme activity and yield of winter wheat and triticale. Acta Agrob. 46 (1): 31-38. [In Polish].
10. Panek K., 1983. Variability of yield and yield features of small bean as effect of moisture conditions. Zesz. Nauk. AR Wroc. 142 (24): 127-132. [In Polish].
11. Sestak Z., Catsky J., 1962, Intensity of photosynthesis and chlorophyll content as related to leaf age in *Nicotiana glauca*. Hart. Biol. Plantar. 4: 131.

12. Stock H., El Sayed, El-Naggar, 1981. Studies on optimal methods of small bean irrigation. *Prz. Nauk. Lit. Rol. Leś.* 28 (3-4): 346. [In Polish].
13. Wojcieszka U., 1994c, The effect of nitrogen nutrition of soybean on plant growth and CO<sub>2</sub> exchange parameters. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* 16: 262-268. [In Polish].
14. Wojcieszka-Wyskupajtyś U., 1996, Effect of nitrogen nutrition on yield and some physiological parameters in rye plants. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* 18 (1): 19-24. [In Polish].
15. Wojcieszka U., Mroczkowski W., Podleśna A., Kocoń A., 1994b, Effect of ammonium nitrate of nitrogenase activity in pea varieties Ramir and Koral. *Biuletyn of the Polish Academy of Sci. Biol. Sci.* 42 (2): 189-193. [In Polish].
16. Wojcieszka U., Wolska E., Podleśna A., Kocoń A., 1994a, The activity of nitrate reductase in organs of pea plants. *Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sci., Biol. Sci.* 42 (2): 183-187. [In Polish].
17. Wojcieszka U., Szczypa E., 1971, Growth and productivity of photosynthesis of winter wheats. Part II. Photosynthesis activity and productivity in the course of plant aging. *Pam. Puł.* 44: 49-70. [In Polish].
18. Wojcieszka U., Wolska E., Giza A., 1991, Effect of N-nutrition on the yield of spring wheat and nitrate activity as an index of the plant nutrition status. *Pam. Puł.* 98: 22-38. [In Polish].
19. Wojcieszka U., Wolska E., Samiec H., 1983, Comparison of photosynthesis productivity of chosen strains of triticale and rye cv. Dańkowskie złote. *Hod. Rośl. Aklim.* 27: 69-84. [In Polish].
20. Zbieć J., Karczmarczyk S., Kaczmarek G., 1988, Growth and yield of corn subjected to water and nutrient stress. Part I. Intensity of photosynthesis and activity of some enzymes in leaves and corn yield. *Zesz. Nauk. AR Szczec.* 135 (46): 257-272. [In Polish].

Translated by: Irena Zbieć, PhD DSc Prof

## STRESZCZENIE

Celem przeprowadzonych badań było określenie wpływu uzupełniającego deszczowania oraz wysokiego nawożenia mineralnego na zawartość barwników, aktywność enzymów oksydacyjno-redukcyjnych oraz intensywność fotosyntezy i plony bobiku, grochu i łubinu białego uprawianych na glebie lekkiej.

Stwierdzono, że deszczowanie oraz wysokie dawki nawozów mineralnych spowodowały istotny wzrost ilości barwników w liściach testowanych roślin oraz modyfikowały aktywność enzymów oksydacyjnych. Wzrosła też pod ich wpływem intensywność fotosyntezy i transpiracji oraz przewodność dyfuzyjna liści.

W efekcie wzrostu aktywności procesów fizjologicznych pod wpływem zastosowanych zabiegów agrotechnicznych uzyskano istotne przyrosty plonów nasion i słomy.

Received: July 1998